

Isothermal and Isobaric Vapor–Liquid Equilibria of the Ternary System of 2,2-Dimethoxypropane + Acetone + Methanol

Wangcheng Yi, Congmin Wang, Haoran Li,* and Shijun Han

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

The isothermal ($T = 323.15$ K) and the isobaric ($P = 66.66$ kPa and 79.99 kPa) vapor–liquid equilibria (VLE) for the ternary system of 2,2-dimethoxypropane + acetone + methanol were measured with an inclined ebulliometer. The measured results for the ternary system are compared with the calculated ones from the UNIQUAC equation using only temperature-dependent binary parameters obtained from the binary literature values and resulted in satisfactory results. The ternary VLE data are useful in the design of efficient separation process of 2,2-dimethoxypropane, acetone, and methanol.

Introduction

2,2-Dimethoxypropane (DMP, CAS Registry No. 77-76-9), a leuco transparent liquid with a strong odor is one of the important intermediates of vitamin synthesis.¹ Recently, the condensation of acetone and methanol is one of the main routes for industrial production of 2,2-dimethoxypropane and 2-methoxypropene. During the process of 2,2-dimethoxypropane and 2-methoxypropene production, a reliable knowledge of the vapor–liquid equilibria (VLE) behavior is a prerequisite for the separation of DMP, acetone, and methanol. The isobaric VLE for 2,2-dimethoxypropane with methanol and for 2,2-dimethoxypropane with acetone at $P = 99.99$ kPa are reported by Brunner and Scholz.² The binary azeotropic data of 2,2-dimethoxypropane with methanol and of 2,2-dimethoxypropane with acetone determined under atmospheric and enhanced pressures are provided by Tao et al.³ Recently, our group has also published the binary isothermal and isobaric VLE data for 2,2-dimethoxypropane with methanol and for 2,2-dimethoxypropane with acetone.⁴ The isothermal and isobaric VLE data for acetone with methanol are available in the literatures.^{5–7} But we have not found the ternary VLE data of DMP + acetone + methanol in the literature. However, a knowledge of this ternary VLE data is important and indispensable in the design of the more efficient separation processes. In addition, it can be used to test and develop models for correlation and prediction of thermodynamic properties of mixtures.

In this paper, the ternary isothermal and isobaric VLE data for the ternary system DMP + acetone + methanol at a temperature of 323.15 K and pressures of 66.66 kPa and 79.99 kPa are reported. The measured ternary results are compared with those calculated from the UNIQUAC equation using temperature-dependent binary parameters, which were obtained from the binary literature data for the binary system of acetone + methanol at $T = 298.15$ K,⁵ 313.15 K,⁶ 323.15 K,⁶ and 328.15 K;⁷ for DMP + acetone at $T = 318.15$ K,⁴ 328.15 K,⁴ and at $P = 40.00$ kPa,⁴ 66.66 kPa,⁴ and 99.99 kPa;⁴ and for DMP + methanol at $T = 318.15$ K,⁴ 328.15 K,⁴ and at $P = 66.66$ kPa,⁴ 79.99 kPa,⁴ and 99.99 kPa.⁴ The temperature-dependent binary parameters are reported too.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail address: lihr@zju.edu.cn.

Table 1. Physical Properties of the Pure Compounds

| compound | d (293.15 K) | | n_D (293.15 K) | | T_b (101.325 kPa) | |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|
| | $\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ | | | | K | |
| | exptl | lit | exptl | lit | exptl | lit |
| DMP | 0.8402 | 0.8400 ⁸ | 1.3780 | 1.3780 ⁸ | 352.95 | 356.15 ⁸ 352.41 ⁵ 351.50 ⁷ |
| acetone | 0.7846 | 0.7845 ⁸ | 1.3589 | 1.3588 ⁸ | 329.18 | 329.20 ⁸ |
| methanol | 0.7865 | 0.7866 ⁸ | 1.3289 | 1.3288 ⁸ | 337.72 | 337.75 ⁸ |

Experimental Section

The DMP (highest commercial grade, Zhejiang JB Co., Ltd.), acetone, and methanol (analytical reagent grade, Shanghai Chemical Co.) were fractionally distilled using a 150 cm high column. The purities of the materials were checked in our laboratory by gas chromatography, and the values obtained are > 0.998 mass fraction (GC) for all the compounds. The physical properties of the pure materials are listed in Table 1 along with literature values.^{5,7,8} Densities of the pure materials and mixtures were measured with an Anton Paar DMA 602 densimeter, with an estimated total uncertainty of 0.00001 $\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$. Refractive indices were measured with an Abbe type refractometer (WZS-I model, made in Shanghai) with a precision of ± 0.0001 .

The isothermal and isobaric VLE measurements were done by using the inclined ebulliometer apparatus with a pump-like stirrer as described elsewhere.^{9–12} The ebulliometric method is based on the overall concentration (x^0) instead of the equilibrium concentration (x) in the liquid phase. The ebulliometer was connected to a pressure controlling system. The temperature inside the ebulliometer was measured with a standard platinum resistance thermometer connected to a digital multimeter (Keithley 195A) with sensitivity of 0.01 K. The accuracy of the temperature was estimated to be 0.05 K. The pressure was indirectly measured by measuring the boiling temperature of pure water in a separate ebulliometer as described elsewhere.⁹ The estimated uncertainty of the indirect pressure measurements was 150 Pa. All mixtures were weighed by analytical balance and prepared by mass. The uncertainty on the composition measurements was estimated to be 0.0001 mole fraction. The detailed procedure has been described elsewhere.^{9–11}

Table 2. Antoine Coefficients A, B, and C for Equation 3

| compound | A | B | C |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| DMP | 4.97568 | 670.371 | -127.62 |
| acetone | 6.25760 | 1219.97 | -42.50 |
| methanol | 7.20587 | 1582.27 | -33.42 |

Theory Section

At equilibrium between the liquid phase and the vapor phase:

$$P y_i \phi_i = P_i^S \phi_i^S x_i \gamma_i \exp[v_i^L(P_i - P_i^S)/RT] \quad (1)$$

where P is the total pressure, y_i is the vapor mole fraction of component i , and ϕ_i is the fugacity coefficient of component i , calculated from virial equation:

$$\ln \phi_i = (2 \sum_j y_j B_{ij} - \sum_i \sum_j y_i y_j B_{ij})P/RT \quad (2)$$

where the second virial coefficients for pure substances (B_{ii}) and the cross-coefficient (B_{ij}) were calculated according to the method of Hayden and O'Connell.¹³ x_i is the liquid mole fraction of component i , and v_i^L is the pure liquid molar volume calculated from the modified Rackett equation.¹⁴ The P_i^S /kPa is the saturated vapor pressure and can be calculated from the Antoine equation:

$$\log(P_i^S) = A - B/(C + T) \quad (3)$$

where T is in K.

For acetone and methanol, the constants A , B , and C given in Dechema Chemistry Data Series¹⁵ were used and

Table 3. Correlation Results for Binary Systems and Root-Mean-Square Deviations for $\delta P/P$ and $\delta T/K$, $T_r = T/T_0$

| systems | a_{12}/K | a_{21}/K | $10^2 \delta P/P$ | $\delta T/K$ | lit |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----|
| DMP (1) + acetone (2) | 209.08 + 88.15 T_r | 152.46 - 294.26 T_r | 0.985 | 0.27 | 4 |
| DMP (1) + methanol (2) | 673.80 + 73.22 T_r | 303.13 - 407.55 T_r | 0.989 | 0.25 | 4 |
| acetone (1) + methanol (2) | 388.81 - 58.18 T_r | 109.17 - 206.05 T_r | 0.847 | 0.22 | 5-7 |

Table 4. Experimental and Calculated Results for DMP (1) + Acetone (2) + Methanol (3) at $T = 323.15$ K, Where P Is Pressure and $\delta P/P^a$ Is the Deviation in Pressure

| x_1 | x_2 | y_1^b | y_2^b | γ_1 | γ_2 | γ_3 | $P_{\text{exp}}/\text{kPa}$ | $P_{\text{cal}}/\text{kPa}$ | $10^2 \delta P/P$ |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| 0.1242 | 0.1231 | 0.1457 | 0.2001 | 2.2276 | 1.3291 | 1.0531 | 68.82 | 69.29 | -0.68 |
| 0.2503 | 0.3748 | 0.1835 | 0.4478 | 1.5298 | 1.0705 | 1.3084 | 76.04 | 76.91 | -1.15 |
| 0.3749 | 0.2494 | 0.2674 | 0.3246 | 1.4063 | 1.1058 | 1.3676 | 71.85 | 72.62 | -1.07 |
| 0.4984 | 0.2499 | 0.3245 | 0.3435 | 1.2392 | 1.1288 | 1.6049 | 69.23 | 69.85 | -0.89 |
| 0.1248 | 0.6598 | 0.0846 | 0.7003 | 1.5225 | 1.0186 | 1.4277 | 81.16 | 81.53 | -0.45 |
| 0.1278 | 0.3834 | 0.1055 | 0.4681 | 1.7609 | 1.1163 | 1.1852 | 77.67 | 78.56 | -1.14 |
| 0.2506 | 0.4995 | 0.1702 | 0.5653 | 1.4470 | 1.0337 | 1.4364 | 77.50 | 78.30 | -1.03 |
| 0.2499 | 0.1252 | 0.2343 | 0.1845 | 1.7920 | 1.2144 | 1.1355 | 69.83 | 70.79 | -1.37 |
| 0.3751 | 0.3717 | 0.2492 | 0.4558 | 1.3414 | 1.0648 | 1.5016 | 73.87 | 74.99 | -1.51 |
| 0.5100 | 0.3677 | 0.3200 | 0.4970 | 1.1951 | 1.1091 | 1.8214 | 69.18 | 69.75 | -0.82 |
| 0.1383 | 0.7422 | 0.0902 | 0.7799 | 1.4628 | 1.0062 | 1.5508 | 80.52 | 80.43 | 0.11 |
| 0.1250 | 0.2537 | 0.1201 | 0.3498 | 1.9561 | 1.2054 | 1.1074 | 74.11 | 74.93 | -1.10 |
| 0.2500 | 0.6239 | 0.1620 | 0.6907 | 1.3889 | 1.0162 | 1.5962 | 77.53 | 77.93 | -0.51 |
| 0.2458 | 0.2629 | 0.1989 | 0.3394 | 1.6382 | 1.1239 | 1.2139 | 74.32 | 75.70 | -1.86 |
| 0.3745 | 0.4994 | 0.2386 | 0.5979 | 1.2948 | 1.0450 | 1.6809 | 73.99 | 74.80 | -1.10 |
| 0.4960 | 0.1303 | 0.3465 | 0.1925 | 1.2926 | 1.1811 | 1.4601 | 67.59 | 68.47 | -1.30 |
| 0.7494 | 0.1250 | 0.4886 | 0.2432 | 1.0612 | 1.3718 | 2.2245 | 58.06 | 58.04 | 0.04 |
| 0.6249 | 0.1250 | 0.4067 | 0.2040 | 1.1505 | 1.2483 | 1.7606 | 63.76 | 63.82 | -0.09 |
| 0.6241 | 0.2499 | 0.3924 | 0.3898 | 1.1234 | 1.2038 | 1.9747 | 64.55 | 64.74 | -0.30 |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.98 |

^a $\delta P/P = (P_{\text{exp}} - P_{\text{cal}})/P_{\text{exp}}$. ^b y_1 and y_2 are calculated values.

Table 5. Experimental and Calculated Results for DMP (1) + Acetone (2) + Methanol (3) at $P = 66.66$ kPa, Where $\delta T^a/K$ Is the Deviation in Temperature

| x_1 | x_2 | y_1^b | y_2^b | γ_1 | γ_2 | γ_3 | T_{exp}/K | T_{cal}/K | $\delta T/K$ |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 0.1242 | 0.1231 | 0.1462 | 0.2010 | 2.2437 | 1.3335 | 1.0536 | 322.28 | 322.04 | 0.24 |
| 0.2503 | 0.3748 | 0.1843 | 0.4519 | 1.5551 | 1.0766 | 1.3182 | 319.65 | 319.31 | 0.34 |
| 0.3749 | 0.2494 | 0.2674 | 0.3271 | 1.4147 | 1.1119 | 1.3740 | 321.07 | 320.68 | 0.39 |
| 0.4984 | 0.2499 | 0.3240 | 0.3447 | 1.2415 | 1.1323 | 1.6101 | 322.10 | 321.82 | 0.28 |
| 0.1248 | 0.6598 | 0.0856 | 0.7034 | 1.5798 | 1.0208 | 1.4522 | 318.08 | 318.12 | -0.04 |
| 0.1278 | 0.3834 | 0.1070 | 0.4736 | 1.8104 | 1.1231 | 1.1919 | 319.27 | 319.06 | 0.21 |
| 0.2506 | 0.4995 | 0.1707 | 0.5688 | 1.4755 | 1.0381 | 1.4537 | 319.24 | 319.08 | 0.16 |
| 0.2499 | 0.1252 | 0.2349 | 0.1855 | 1.8014 | 1.2192 | 1.1370 | 322.00 | 321.68 | 0.32 |
| 0.3751 | 0.3717 | 0.2487 | 0.4587 | 1.3526 | 1.0704 | 1.5138 | 320.47 | 320.13 | 0.34 |
| 0.5100 | 0.3677 | 0.3193 | 0.4980 | 1.1974 | 1.1118 | 1.8292 | 322.18 | 322.00 | 0.18 |
| 0.1383 | 0.7422 | 0.0911 | 0.7813 | 1.5160 | 1.0075 | 1.5842 | 318.20 | 318.36 | -0.16 |
| 0.1250 | 0.2537 | 0.1215 | 0.3538 | 1.9953 | 1.2132 | 1.1100 | 320.48 | 320.24 | 0.24 |
| 0.2500 | 0.6239 | 0.1621 | 0.6925 | 1.4184 | 1.0191 | 1.6232 | 319.21 | 319.22 | -0.01 |
| 0.2458 | 0.2629 | 0.1999 | 0.3428 | 1.6590 | 1.1310 | 1.2189 | 320.42 | 320.03 | 0.39 |
| 0.3745 | 0.4994 | 0.2378 | 0.6001 | 1.3071 | 1.0491 | 1.7005 | 320.43 | 320.26 | 0.17 |
| 0.4960 | 0.1303 | 0.3465 | 0.1925 | 1.2931 | 1.1820 | 1.4608 | 322.83 | 322.53 | 0.30 |
| 0.7494 | 0.1250 | 0.4940 | 0.2372 | 1.0593 | 1.3424 | 2.1789 | 326.72 | 326.77 | -0.05 |
| 0.6249 | 0.1250 | 0.4077 | 0.2023 | 1.1491 | 1.2407 | 1.7522 | 324.28 | 324.21 | 0.07 |
| 0.6241 | 0.2499 | 0.3936 | 0.3882 | 1.1222 | 1.1992 | 1.9655 | 323.95 | 323.78 | 0.17 |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.25 |

^a $\delta T = T_{\text{exp}} - T_{\text{cal}}$. ^b y_1 and y_2 are calculated values.

Table 6. Experimental and Calculated Results for DMP (1) + Acetone (2) + Methanol (3) at $P = 79.99$ kPa, Where $\delta T^a/K$ Is the Deviation in Temperature

| x_1 | x_2 | y_1^b | y_2^b | γ_1 | γ_2 | γ_3 | T_{exp}/K | T_{cal}/K | $\delta T/K$ |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 0.1242 | 0.1231 | 0.1418 | 0.1947 | 2.1669 | 1.3125 | 1.0511 | 327.03 | 326.91 | 0.12 |
| 0.2503 | 0.3748 | 0.1831 | 0.4457 | 1.5191 | 1.0679 | 1.3043 | 324.50 | 324.18 | 0.32 |
| 0.3749 | 0.2494 | 0.2673 | 0.3202 | 1.3929 | 1.0961 | 1.3574 | 326.04 | 325.77 | 0.27 |
| 0.4984 | 0.2499 | 0.3265 | 0.3374 | 1.2290 | 1.1131 | 1.5812 | 327.06 | 326.79 | 0.27 |
| 0.1248 | 0.6598 | 0.0846 | 0.7004 | 1.5280 | 1.0188 | 1.4301 | 322.77 | 322.66 | 0.11 |
| 0.1278 | 0.3834 | 0.1050 | 0.4666 | 1.7513 | 1.1150 | 1.1838 | 323.91 | 323.59 | 0.32 |
| 0.2506 | 0.4995 | 0.1701 | 0.5643 | 1.4404 | 1.0327 | 1.4324 | 323.98 | 323.67 | 0.31 |
| 0.2499 | 0.1252 | 0.2313 | 0.1799 | 1.7610 | 1.1986 | 1.1307 | 326.58 | 326.15 | 0.43 |
| 0.3751 | 0.3717 | 0.2496 | 0.4527 | 1.3307 | 1.0595 | 1.4898 | 325.26 | 324.78 | 0.48 |
| 0.5100 | 0.3677 | 0.3232 | 0.4915 | 1.1846 | 1.0966 | 1.7856 | 327.07 | 326.69 | 0.38 |
| 0.1383 | 0.7422 | 0.0902 | 0.7800 | 1.4673 | 1.0063 | 1.5537 | 322.97 | 323.00 | -0.03 |
| 0.1250 | 0.2537 | 0.1187 | 0.3461 | 1.9286 | 1.1999 | 1.1055 | 325.06 | 324.70 | 0.36 |
| 0.2500 | 0.6239 | 0.1619 | 0.6902 | 1.3834 | 1.0156 | 1.5911 | 323.98 | 323.81 | 0.17 |
| 0.2458 | 0.2629 | 0.1979 | 0.3359 | 1.6207 | 1.1180 | 1.2096 | 325.02 | 324.46 | 0.56 |
| 0.3745 | 0.4994 | 0.2392 | 0.5959 | 1.2844 | 1.0414 | 1.6643 | 325.23 | 324.83 | 0.40 |
| 0.4960 | 0.1303 | 0.3472 | 0.1864 | 1.2807 | 1.1557 | 1.4399 | 327.35 | 326.74 | 0.61 |
| 0.7494 | 0.1250 | 0.5011 | 0.2291 | 1.0565 | 1.3011 | 2.1142 | 331.41 | 330.99 | 0.42 |
| 0.6249 | 0.1250 | 0.4111 | 0.1956 | 1.1428 | 1.2082 | 1.7158 | 329.06 | 328.81 | 0.25 |
| 0.6241 | 0.2499 | 0.3996 | 0.3799 | 1.1153 | 1.1741 | 1.9140 | 328.73 | 328.21 | 0.52 |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.38 |

^a $\delta T = T_{\text{exp}} - T_{\text{cal}}$. ^b y_1 and y_2 are calculated values.

are shown in Table 2. For DMP, the constants provided by Jiang et al.⁴ were used and are also shown in Table 2.

The liquid-phase activity coefficient (γ_i) was calculated from the UNIQUAC equation¹⁶ with the temperature-dependent binary parameters. The pure component structural parameters for each component are as follows: for DMP, $\gamma = 4.31$, $q = q' = 3.87$; for acetone, $\gamma = 2.57$, $q = q' = 2.34$; for methanol, $\gamma = 1.43$, $q = 1.43$, $q' = 0.96$. The temperature-dependent parameter of a_{ij} is as follows:

$$a_{ij} = a_{ij}^{(0)} + a_{ij}^{(1)}T_r \quad (4)$$

where $T_r = T/T_0$. T_0 is an arbitrarily chosen reference temperature, in this case 315.00 K. To obtain the temperature-dependent parameters, a nonlinear optimization method was used to minimize the objective function:

$$J = \sum_j (P - P_{\text{exp},j})^2 / P_{\text{exp},j}^2 + \sum_j (T - T_{\text{exp},j})^2 \quad (5)$$

Results and Discussion

The root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) between the experimental and calculated values of the measured variables (δP for pressure and δT for temperature) and the optimum binary parameters (a_{ij}) are listed in Table 3.⁴⁻⁷

The ternary VLE experimental data are listed in Tables 4 to 6. Table 4 shows the experimental $T - x$ data and Tables 5 and 6 show the experimental $p - x$ data, both together with calculated values of vapor compositions and activity coefficients, predicted by binary parameters. The ternary system is nonideal, showing medium positive deviation from Raoult's law. As seen in Tables 4 to 6, all calculated activity coefficients have values > 1 . The deviations between the ternary experimental results and those calculated from the binary temperature-dependent parameters are also presented in these tables. The RMSD in pressure at $T = 323.15$ K and the RMSD in temperature at $P = 66.66$ kPa and $P = 79.99$ kPa are 0.0098, 0.25 K, and 0.38 K, respectively. As can be seen from the data obtained for the ternary system, the UNIQUAC equation gives a good correlation and prediction, simultaneously taking into account all experiments carried out at different pressures and temperatures. These ternary data can be used satisfactorily for the design of the separation process

of DMP, acetone, and methanol. These indicate that the UNIQUAC equation can be used for predicting ternary VLE data. It can be used to develop models for correlation and prediction of thermodynamic properties of mixtures.

Conclusions

The ternary isothermal and isobaric VLE data for the ternary system DMP + acetone + methanol at temperature of 323.15 K, and pressures of 66.66 kPa and 79.99 kPa are presented. The ternary system is nonideal, showing medium positive deviation from Raoult's law. The measured ternary results are compared with those calculated from the UNIQUAC equation using temperature-dependent binary parameters, which were obtained from the binary literature data. The results is satisfactory. The ternary VLE data are useful in the design of the more efficient separation process of DMP, acetone, and methanol.

Literature Cited

- (1) Stern, J. H.; Dorer, F. H. Standard heats of formation of 2,2-dimethoxypropane (I), and 2,2-diethoxypropane (I). group additivity theory and calculated heats of formation of five ketals. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1962**, *66*, 97-99.
- (2) Brunner, E.; Scholz, A. G. R. Dampf/Flüssigkeitsphasengleichgewichtsmessungen zum ternären system acetone/methanol/2,2-dimethoxypropan. *Chem. Ing. Technol.* **1980**, *52*, 164-165.
- (3) Tao, B.; Xiao, X.; Shen, Y.; Wang, Q. Study on binary azeotropic systems at elevated pressures concerning synthesis of 2,2-dimethoxypropane. *Shiyou Huagong* **2004**, *33*, 206-208 (in Chinese).
- (4) Jiang, H.; Li, H.; Wang, C.; Tan, T.; Han, S. (Vapour + liquid) equilibria for (2,2-dimethoxypropane + methanol) and (2,2-dimethoxypropane + acetone). *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2003**, *35*, 1567-1572.
- (5) Tamir, A.; Apelblat, A.; Wagner, M. An evaluation of thermodynamic analyses of the vapor-liquid equilibria in the ternary system acetone-chloroform-methanol and its binaries. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1981**, *6*, 113-139.
- (6) Goral, M.; Kolasinska, G.; Oracz, P.; Warycha, S. Vapour-liquid equilibria. II. The ternary system methanol-chloroform-acetone at 313.15 and 323.15 K. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1985**, *23*, 89-116.
- (7) Freshwater, D. C.; Pike, K. A. Vapor-liquid equilibrium data for systems of acetone-methanol-isopropanol. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1967**, *12*, 179-183.
- (8) Robert, C. W.; Melvin, J. A.; Willian, H. B. *The CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 66th ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton: FL, 1986.
- (9) Li, H.; Han, S.; Teng, Y. Bubble points measurement for system chloroform- ethanol- benzene by inclined ebulliometer. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1995**, *113*, 185-195.

- (10) Li, H.; Han, S. Isothermal and isobaric (vapour + liquid) equilibria of (ethanol + benzene + *n*-hexane). *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1997**, *29*, 921–927.
- (11) Xu, Y.; Li, H.; Wang, C.; Wang, Y.; Han, S. Bubble points measurement for (triethyl orthoformate + diethyl malonate). *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2004**, *36*, 971–976.
- (12) Wang, C.; Li, H.; Ma, L.; Han, S. (Vapour + liquid) equilibria for the binary mixtures (*cis*-pinane + α -pinene) and (*cis*-pinane + 1-butanol). *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2003**, *35*, 131–136.
- (13) Hayden, J. G.; O'Connell, J. P. A generalized method for predicting second virial coefficients. *Ind. Eng. Chem., Process. Des. Dev.* **1975**, *14*, 209–216.
- (14) Spencer, C. F.; Danner, R. P. Improved equation for prediction of saturated liquid density. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1972**, *17*, 236–241.
- (15) Gmehling, J. G.; Onken, U. *Vapour–Liquid Equilibrium Data Collection*; Dechema Chemistry Data Series; Dechema: Frankfurt, Germany, 1977; Vol. 1.
- (16) Anderson, T. F.; Prausnitz, J. M. Application of the UNIQUAC equation to calculation of multicomponent phase equilibria. 1. Vapor–liquid equilibria. *Ind. Eng. Chem., Process. Des. Dev.* **1978**, *17*, 552–561.

Received for review January 10, 2005. Accepted September 24, 2005. This work was financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 29976035) and Zhejiang Province Natural Science Foundation of China (No. RC01051).

JE050013Y